

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,859	10/11/2004	Nitesh Ratnakar		5858
48422 NITESH RATI	7590 07/25/2007 NAKAR		EXAM	INER
ROUTE 3,			LEUBECKER, JOHN P	
BOX 179-A ELKINS, WV	26241		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· ,	•		3739	
		t.	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/711,859	RATNAKAR, NITESH	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		John P. Leubecker	3739	
The Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this communication apply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IN IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 CONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status				
2a)☐ This a 3)☐ Since	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 O</u> ction is FINAL . 2b)☐ This this application is in condition for alloward in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. noe except for formal matters, pre-		
Disposition of (Claims			
4a) Of 5) Claims 6) Claims 7) Claims 8) Claims Application Par 9) The sp 10) The dra Application Replace	the above claim(s) is/are withdraw (s) is/are allowed. (s) is/are allowed. (s) is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) is/are objected to. (s) is/are subject to restriction and/or objects pers ecification is objected to by the Examine awing(s) filed on is/are: a) account may not request that any objection to the sement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the or declaration is objected to by the Examine awing sheet(s) including the correct of the or declaration is objected to by the Examine awing sheet(s) including the correct of the or declaration is objected to by the Examine awing sheet(s) including the correct of the or declaration is objected to by the Examine awing sheet(s) including the correct of the or declaration is objected to by the Examine awing sheet(s) including the correct of the order o	wn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 3				
12) Acknown All All 1. 2. 3. 3.	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second state of the s	ion No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice of Draf 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) Itsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/711,859

Art Unit: 3739

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a multiple view direction endoscope, classified in class 600, subclass 173.
 - II. Claims 23-30, drawn to an endoscope with more than one channel, classified in class 600, subclass 153.
 - III. Claims 31-36, drawn to an endoscope with more than one imaging lens, classified in class 600, subclass 176.
 - IV. Claims 37-39, drawn to an endoscope with more than one illumination bulb, classified in class 600, subclass 178.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I through IV are directed to related inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have a materially different structure design, different operations, different functions and different effects. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a

Application/Control Number: 10/711,859

Art Unit: 3739

serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,859

Art Unit: 3739

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. A telephone call was made to Nitesh Ratnakar on July 19, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4769.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John P. Leubecker Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739